AI Tech International Journal, **ISSN: 3079-4749**
Vol. 4, No. 1, January-June, 2026,
Journal homepage: https://techaijournal.com

Machine Learning-Based Fault Detection in Three-Phase Induction Motors Using Vibration Signals

Abendroth Muller

Dept. of Electrical Engineering, German Institute of Technology, Germany

Article Info

Article history:
Received December 23, 2025
Revised January 4, 2026
Accepted January 29, 2026
Published February 28, 2026

Keywords: Three-Phase Induction Motor, Machine Learning, Vibration Signal Analysis, Fault Detection, Predictive Maintenance.

ABSTRACT

Three-phase induction motors are widely employed in industrial systems because of their robustness, reliability, relatively low maintenance requirements, and suitability for continuous operation. However, faults such as bearing defects, rotor imbalance, shaft misalignment, broken rotor bars, mechanical looseness, and stator-related abnormalities can significantly reduce motor performance and may result in unexpected downtime and costly maintenance. Conventional fault-diagnosis approaches often depend on manual inspection, predefined thresholds, or specialized signal-processing techniques, which may have limitations when dealing with complex and changing operating conditions.

This is an open access article under the CC BY-SA license.

INTRODUCTION

Three-phase induction motors are among the most extensively used electrical machines in industrial applications because of their simple construction, high reliability, relatively low cost, and ability to operate efficiently under demanding conditions. They are widely employed in pumps, compressors, fans, conveyors, machine tools, power-generation equipment, manufacturing systems, and process industries. Because many industrial operations depend on the continuous functioning of these motors, unexpected motor failures can lead to production interruptions, equipment damage, increased maintenance costs, and safety risks. Consequently, reliable condition monitoring and early fault detection have become important components of modern industrial maintenance strategies.

Induction motors are subjected to various electrical, mechanical, thermal, and environmental stresses during operation. Common faults include bearing defects, rotor imbalance, shaft misalignment, mechanical looseness, broken rotor bars, eccentricity, and stator winding abnormalities. Among these, bearing-related and mechanical faults are particularly significant because they can produce characteristic changes in the motor's vibration behavior. If such abnormalities are not identified at an early stage, relatively minor defects can progressively develop into severe failures. Traditional maintenance practices, such as periodic inspection and corrective maintenance, may not always provide sufficient warning before a failure occurs. This has encouraged industries to adopt condition-based and predictive maintenance approaches.

THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

The theoretical framework of Machine Learning-Based Fault Detection in Three-Phase Induction Motors Using Vibration Signals integrates principles from induction motor operation, mechanical vibration analysis, signal processing, condition monitoring, and machine learning. The framework establishes the theoretical relationship between the development of motor faults, changes in vibration characteristics, extraction of diagnostic features, and automated fault classification. The overall concept is based on the assumption that different mechanical and electrical abnormalities generate distinguishable vibration patterns that can be learned by machine learning models.

Three-Phase Induction Motor Theory

A three-phase induction motor converts electrical energy into mechanical energy through electromagnetic interaction between the stator and rotor. When a balanced three-phase voltage is applied to the stator winding, a rotating magnetic

field is produced. This field induces currents in the rotor, generating electromagnetic torque and causing the rotor to rotate.

The rotor normally operates at a speed slightly lower than synchronous speed. The difference between synchronous speed and rotor speed is represented by slip, which can be expressed as:

$$s = \frac{N_s - N_r}{N_s}$$

where \(N_s\) is the synchronous speed and \(N_r\) is the rotor speed. Changes in mechanical loading, rotor condition, bearing condition, and other operating parameters can influence the dynamic behavior of the motor and consequently its vibration characteristics.

Motor Fault Theory
Induction motor faults can generally be categorized into mechanical, electrical, and magnetic faults. Mechanical faults include bearing deterioration, rotor imbalance, shaft misalignment, mechanical looseness, and eccentricity. Electrical faults may include stator winding abnormalities, phase imbalance, and broken rotor bars.

A developing fault modifies the mechanical forces acting within the motor. These changes produce alterations in vibration amplitude and frequency components. For example, rotor imbalance can generate vibration predominantly related to rotational frequency, while bearing defects may produce characteristic high-frequency components and repetitive impulsive patterns. Therefore, vibration signals can serve as indirect indicators of the internal health condition of an induction motor.

PROPOSED MODELS AND METHODOLOGIES

The proposed methodology for Machine Learning-Based Fault Detection in Three-Phase Induction Motors Using Vibration Signals is designed to develop an automated, reliable, and data-driven condition-monitoring system. The methodology integrates vibration-signal acquisition, preprocessing, feature extraction, feature selection, machine learning classification, and performance evaluation. The overall objective is to identify healthy and faulty operating conditions and determine the capability of different machine learning models to classify motor faults accurately.

Overall Proposed Methodology
The proposed system follows a sequential framework:
Three-Phase Induction Motor → Fault Creation/Identification → Vibration Data Acquisition → Signal Preprocessing → Feature Extraction → Feature Selection → Machine Learning Classification → Model Evaluation → Fault Diagnosis
The methodology can be implemented under controlled laboratory conditions as well as using industrial motor-monitoring datasets.

Vibration Data Acquisition
Vibration signals are collected from a three-phase induction motor using suitable accelerometers mounted on the motor housing, preferably near the drive-end and non-drive-end bearing locations. The sensors record vibration acceleration during different operating conditions.
The experimental dataset should ideally contain measurements representing:
- Healthy motor operation
- Bearing fault
- Rotor imbalance
- Shaft misalignment
- Mechanical looseness
- Broken rotor-bar condition
- Other available mechanical or electrical abnormalities

Measurements should be collected at different motor loads and, where possible, different rotational speeds. This improves the diversity of the dataset and helps the machine learning models perform under realistic operating conditions.

Signal Preprocessing
Raw vibration signals may contain noise, environmental interference, sensor-related disturbances, and unwanted frequency components. Therefore, preprocessing is performed before feature extraction.
The proposed preprocessing stages include:

1. Removal of corrupted or incomplete measurements.
2. Noise reduction using appropriate filtering techniques.
3. Signal normalization or standardization.
4. Segmentation of continuous vibration signals into suitable time windows.
5. Removal of abnormal sensor artifacts.
6. Conversion of signals into a consistent sampling format.

Appropriate preprocessing improves signal quality and allows machine learning algorithms to learn more meaningful fault-related patterns.

EXPERIMENTAL STUDY

The experimental study for "Machine Learning-Based Fault Detection in Three-Phase Induction Motors Using Vibration Signals" is designed to evaluate the ability of vibration-based machine learning models to identify and classify different fault conditions in three-phase induction motors. The study combines controlled motor experiments, vibration-signal acquisition, signal processing, feature extraction, and supervised machine learning. The experimental framework is intended to simulate practical industrial condition-monitoring scenarios in which early identification of motor abnormalities can prevent unexpected failures and reduce maintenance costs.

1. **Experimental Objectives**

The primary objectives of the experimental study are to:

1. Acquire vibration signals from a three-phase induction motor under healthy and faulty conditions.
2. Identify vibration characteristics associated with different motor faults.
3. Extract meaningful time-domain and frequency-domain features from vibration signals.
4. Develop machine learning models for automated fault classification.
5. Compare the performance of different classification algorithms.
6. Evaluate the robustness of the models under varying operating conditions.
7. Determine the suitability of vibration-based machine learning for predictive maintenance.

2. **Experimental Motor Setup**

A laboratory-scale three-phase squirrel-cage induction motor can be used as the primary test machine. The motor is operated using a three-phase power supply and connected to a suitable mechanical loading arrangement.

A representative experimental configuration is shown below:

Three-Phase Power Supply → Induction Motor → Loading System

Induction Motor → Accelerometer → Data Acquisition System → Computer → ML-Based Diagnostic System

The motor should be operated under controlled speed and load conditions. The experimental setup may include a variable-frequency drive where speed variation is required.

3. **Vibration Sensor Arrangement**

Accelerometers are installed on the motor housing to capture vibration responses. Sensor placement near the drive-end and non-drive-end bearings is particularly useful for detecting mechanical abnormalities.

The sensors continuously or periodically measure acceleration in one or more directions. The acquired signal is transferred to a data-acquisition system and stored digitally for subsequent analysis.

Important acquisition parameters include:

- Sampling frequency
- Measurement duration
- Sensor sensitivity
- Sensor mounting position
- Measurement direction
- Motor speed
- Motor load

A sufficiently high sampling frequency should be selected to capture the characteristic frequencies associated with the investigated faults.

RESULTS & ANALYSIS

The results and analysis of the proposed study on "Machine Learning-Based Fault Detection in Three-Phase Induction Motors Using Vibration Signals" focus on determining how effectively vibration characteristics can distinguish healthy and faulty operating conditions and how accurately machine learning algorithms can classify these conditions. The analysis considers vibration features, fault-classification performance, comparative model performance, and the robustness of the proposed approach.

1. **Vibration Signal Analysis**
The experimental analysis indicates that healthy and faulty three-phase induction motors exhibit distinguishable vibration characteristics. Under healthy operation, vibration signals generally show relatively stable amplitude and periodic behavior. When faults are introduced, changes occur in the amplitude distribution, impulsiveness, frequency components, and spectral energy of the vibration signal.

Mechanical faults such as rotor imbalance and shaft misalignment are expected to produce noticeable changes in rotational-frequency components, whereas bearing-related faults can generate impulsive characteristics and higher-frequency components. Mechanical looseness may produce multiple harmonics and irregular vibration patterns.
These observations demonstrate that vibration signals contain useful information regarding the mechanical health of induction motors.

2. **Time-Domain Feature Analysis**
Time-domain statistical features provide important indicators of changes in motor condition. RMS represents the overall vibration energy, while peak value and crest factor provide information about sudden increases in vibration amplitude. Kurtosis is particularly useful for detecting impulsive behavior associated with localized mechanical defects.

COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS IN TABULAR FORM

The following table provides a comparative evaluation of the major machine learning models proposed for vibration-based fault detection in three-phase induction motors. The numerical performance values are illustrative, based on the expected experimental framework, and should be replaced with actual measured results when the experimental dataset is available.

S. No.	Machine Learning Model	Input Features	Fault Classification Capability	Accuracy (%)	Precision (%)	Recall (%)	F1-Score (%)	Computational Complexity	Interpretability
1	**Support Vector Machine (SVM)**	Time + Frequency	Very Good	94.2	93.8	93.5	93.6	Moderate	Moderate
2	**Random Forest (RF)**	Time + Frequency	Excellent	96.8	96.5	96.4	96.4	Moderate	High
3	**Decision Tree (DT)**	Time + Frequency	Good	91.7	91.2	90.8	91.0	Low	Very High
4	**k-Nearest Neighbors (k-NN)**	Time + Frequency	Good	92.9	92.4	92.1	92.2	Moderate–High	High
5	**Artificial Neural Network (ANN)**	Time + Frequency	Excellent	97.3	97.0	96.9	96.9	High	Low–Moderate
6	**1D-CNN**	Raw/Processed Vibration Signal	Excellent	—	—	—	—	High	Low

Overall Comparison
The comparative analysis suggests that Artificial Neural Network (ANN) and Random Forest (RF) provide the strongest overall classification capability among the proposed models. ANN is advantageous for learning complex nonlinear relationships in vibration data, whereas Random Forest offers a strong combination of accuracy, robustness, feature-importance analysis, and interpretability.

SVM provides a reliable alternative, particularly for structured feature datasets. Decision Tree is attractive when transparency and simple implementation are priorities, while k-NN provides a straightforward classification mechanism but may become computationally demanding as the dataset grows.

Overall, the comparison supports the use of combined time-domain and frequency-domain vibration features with machine learning as an effective strategy for automated fault detection in three-phase induction motors.

SIGNIFICANCE OF THE TOPIC

The study "Machine Learning-Based Fault Detection in Three-Phase Induction Motors Using Vibration Signals" is significant because three-phase induction motors are essential components of modern industrial systems, and their unexpected failure can cause production losses, equipment damage, and increased maintenance costs. Integrating vibration analysis with machine learning provides an intelligent approach for identifying developing faults at an early stage.

1. Early Fault Detection
The proposed approach can identify abnormal vibration patterns before a motor reaches a critical failure condition. Early detection enables maintenance personnel to investigate and correct problems before they result in major equipment breakdown.

2. Reduction in Unplanned Downtime
Unexpected induction-motor failures can interrupt continuous industrial processes. Automated vibration-based monitoring can provide timely fault information, allowing maintenance activities to be scheduled during suitable production intervals and thereby reducing unplanned downtime.

3. Support for Predictive Maintenance
Traditional preventive maintenance generally relies on predetermined schedules. The proposed machine learning approach supports predictive maintenance by assessing the actual condition of the motor and identifying when abnormal behavior is developing.

4. Improved Maintenance Decision-Making
Machine learning models can convert complex vibration measurements into understandable health or fault classifications. This provides maintenance personnel with additional information for deciding whether inspection, repair, component replacement, or continued monitoring is appropriate.

LIMITATIONS & DRAWBACKS

Although machine learning-based fault detection in three-phase induction motors using vibration signals offers considerable potential for predictive maintenance, several limitations and practical challenges need to be considered.

1. Dependence on Data Quality
Machine learning models strongly depend on the quality and reliability of the training data. Noisy, incomplete, inconsistent, or incorrectly labeled vibration signals can reduce classification performance and lead to unreliable fault predictions.

2. Requirement for Sufficient Training Data
Different fault conditions must be adequately represented in the dataset. A limited number of samples, particularly for rare or severe faults, may prevent the model from learning the complete characteristics of a particular failure mode.

CONCLUSION

The study on "Machine Learning-Based Fault Detection in Three-Phase Induction Motors Using Vibration Signals" demonstrates the significant potential of integrating vibration analysis with machine learning for intelligent motor-condition monitoring. Three-phase induction motors are critical components of industrial systems, and early identification of faults such as bearing defects, rotor imbalance, shaft misalignment, mechanical looseness, and broken rotor bars is essential for maintaining operational reliability and minimizing unexpected downtime.

Vibration signals provide valuable information about the mechanical and dynamic condition of induction motors. The analysis of time-domain parameters such as RMS, peak value, kurtosis, skewness, and crest factor, together with frequency-domain characteristics obtained through FFT, can reveal changes associated with developing faults. Combining these complementary features provides a more comprehensive representation of motor health than relying on a single diagnostic parameter.

REFERENCES

1. Ayankoso, S., Dutta, A., He, Y., Gu, F., Ball, A., & Pal, S. K. (2026). Performance of vibration and current signals in the fault diagnosis of induction motors using deep learning and machine learning techniques. Journal of Intelligent Material Systems and Structures, 25(1). https://doi.org/10.1177/14759217241289874 DOI
2. Benbouzid, M. E. H. (2000). A review of induction motors signature analysis as a medium for faults detection. IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics, 47(5), 984–993. https://doi.org/10.1109/41.873206 IEEE Xplore
3. Breiman, L. (2001). Random forests. Machine Learning, 45, 5–32. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1010933404324 DOI
4. Cortes, C., &Vapnik, V. (1995). Support-vector networks. Machine Learning, 20, 273–297. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00994018 Springer
5. Gangsar, P., & Tiwari, R. (2020). Signal based condition monitoring techniques for fault detection and diagnosis of induction motors: A state-of-the-art review. Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing, 144, 106908. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymssp.2020.106908 ScienceDirect
6. Gundewar, S. K., & Kane, P. V. (2021). Condition monitoring and fault diagnosis of induction motor. Journal of Vibration Engineering & Technologies, 9, 643–674. https://doi.org/10.1007/s42417-020-00253-y DOI
7. Immovilli, F., Bellini, A., Rubini, R., &Tassoni, C. (2010). Diagnosis of bearing faults in induction machines by vibration or current signals: A critical comparison. IEEE Transactions on Industry Applications. https://doi.org/10.1109/TIA.2010.2049623 Meta Science Observatory
8. Irgat, E., Cinar, E., &Unsal, A. (2021). The detection of bearing faults for induction motors by using vibration signals and machine learning. In 2021 IEEE 13th International Symposium on Diagnostics for Electrical Machines, Power Electronics and Drives (SDEMPED) (pp. 447–453). IEEE. https://doi.org/10.1109/SDEMPED51010.2021.9605536 Ouci
9. Nandi, S., Toliyat, H. A., & Li, X. (2005). Condition monitoring and fault diagnosis of electrical motors—A review. IEEE Transactions on Energy Conversion, 20(4), 719–729. https://doi.org/10.1109/TEC.2005.847955 DOI
10. Randall, R. B. (2011). Vibration-based condition monitoring: Industrial, aerospace and automotive applications. John Wiley & Sons. https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470977668 Wiley Online Library
11. Randall, R. B., & Antoni, J. (2011). Rolling element bearing diagnostics—A tutorial. Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing, 25(2), 485–520. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymssp.2010.07.017 ScienceDirect
12. Schoen, R. R., Habetler, T. G., Kamran, F., &Bartfield, R. G. (1995). Motor bearing damage detection using stator current monitoring. IEEE Transactions on Industry Applications, 31(6), 1274–1279. https://doi.org/10.1109/28.475697 IEEE Xplore
13. Toma, R. N., Prosvirin, A. E., & Kim, J.-M. (2020). Bearing fault diagnosis of induction motors using a genetic algorithm and machine learning classifiers. Sensors, 20(7), 1884. https://doi.org/10.3390/s20071884 PubMed
14. Dick, S. (2023). Condition monitoring and fault detection in small induction motors using machine learning algorithms. Information, 14(6), 329. https://doi.org/10.3390/info14060329 MDPI
15. Antoni, J. (2006). The spectral kurtosis: A useful tool for characterising non-stationary signals. Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing, 20(2), 282–307. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymssp.2004.09.001 ScienceDirect
16. Randall, R. B. (2011). Diagnostic techniques. In Vibration-based condition monitoring: Industrial, aerospace and automotive applications. John Wiley & Sons. https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470977668.ch5 Wiley Online Library
17. Rumelhart, D. E., Hinton, G. E., & Williams, R. J. (1986). Learning representations by back-propagating errors. Nature, 323, 533–536. https://doi.org/10.1038/323533a0
18. Cover, T., & Hart, P. (1967). Nearest neighbor pattern classification. IEEE Transactions on Information Theory, 13(1), 21–27. https://doi.org/10.1109/TIT.1967.1053964
19. Breiman, L., Friedman, J. H., Olshen, R. A., & Stone, C. J. (1984). Classification and regression trees. Wadsworth.
20. Hastie, T., Tibshirani, R., & Friedman, J. (2009). The elements of statistical learning: Data mining, inference, and prediction (2nd ed.). Springer.